

APPLICATION NO.

10/642,348

SUITE 200

23581

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE

08/15/2003

09/17/2004

7590

520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET

PORTLAND, OR 97204

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

BJT 332B 1593

EXAMINER

MAIORINO, ROZ

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT
3763

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Keith K. Daellenbach

		\mathcal{A}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/642,348	DAELLENBACH, KEITH K	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roz Maiorino	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
Period for Reply	V IO OET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(O) FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nety filed rs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			./
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/13.	<u>/2004</u> .		
<i>;</i> —	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	l .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n election requirement.		
Application Papers			•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
	Adminor. 140to the attached Office	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			-
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
	·	•	
Attachment(s)	A	/ (PTO 413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/かす	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Speices C in the reply filed on 8/13/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the claims are generic to all the species. This is not found persuasive because although the applicant has currently decided not to restrict his claims to a particular species, it expedites prosecution when the examiner has advance notice regarding the direction of the application. Therefore all of the current claims will be examined since they are genetic however the applicant is restricted to species C if he decide to all more claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-16 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim1-7, 9-25 of copending Application No. 10/085564. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: applicant's current claims are a broad version of the above mentioned application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other

copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. US 5833632 to Jacobsen et al.

Jacobsen teaches a needle less jet injection device with a rigid end effectors including a plurality of orifices; a fluid reservoir in fluid communication with the end effectors; and an ejection mechanism adapted to eject the fluid form the fluid reservoir thought the end effectors and out of the orifice with sufficient pressure to penetrate the organ; the end effectors includes a straight shaft section and a distal section; wherein some of the orifices are located the distal section.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. US 6623449 to Paskar.

Paskar teaches a needle less jet injection device with a rigid end effectors including a plurality of orifices; a fluid reservoir in fluid communication with the end effectors; and an ejection mechanism adapted to eject the fluid form the fluid reservoir thought the end effectors and out of the orifice with sufficient pressure to penetrate the organ; the end effectors includes a straight shaft section and a distal section; wherein some of the orifices are located the distal section. (figures 12, 10, 15, 16, 21A-22C)

3. Claims 1-6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No.6344027 to Goll.

Goll teaches a needle less jet injection device use for the heart with a rigid end effectors including a plurality of orifices; a fluid reservoir in fluid communication with the end effector; and an ejection mechanism adapted to eject the fluid form the fluid reservoir thought the end effectors and out of the orifice with sufficient pressure to penetrate the organ; the end effectors includes a straight shaft section and a distal section (figure 6E); wherein some of the orifices are located the distal section, the

outer diameter of the end effector is between 0.100 and 0.300 inches (Col.3, lines 15-25)

4. Claims 1-6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. US 5997525 to March et al.

March teaches a needle less jet injection device with a rigid end effectors including a plurality of orifices; a fluid reservoir in fluid communication with the end effector; and an ejection mechanism adapted to eject the fluid form the fluid reservoir thought the end effectors and out of the orifice with sufficient pressure to penetrate the organ; the end effectors includes a straight shaft section and a distal. (Figures 3-5)

5. Claims 1-6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. US 5489269 to Aldrich et al

March teaches a needle less jet injection device with a rigid end effectors including a plurality of orifices; a fluid reservoir in fluid communication with the end effector; and an ejection mechanism adapted to eject the fluid form the fluid reservoir thought the end effectors and out of the orifice with sufficient pressure to penetrate the organ; the end effectors includes a straight shaft section and a distal. (figures 1-3)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Patent No.6344027 to Goll or US Patent No. US 5997525 to March et al. Or US Patent

no. 5489269 to Aldrich et al or US Patent No. US 5833632 to Jacobsen et al or US

Patent No. US 6623449 to Paskar.

As mentioned above Paskar, Goll, Aldrich, March and Jacobsen all teach the applicant invention however none of the above prior art teach the use of ethanol with their apparatus. All of the above inventors do use their apparatus for some type of medication or fluid therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used the above prior art for the use of introduction of ethanol because of the above apparatus can be used for medication or fluid introduction into an organ and hence are capable for carrying ethanol.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,348

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

M

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700